(1) Publication number:

0 036 699

12

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

2 Application number: 81200352.3

(f) Int. Cl.3: C 12 P 7/62, C 08 G 63/72

2 Date of filing: 14.02.80

③ Priority: 21.02.79 GB 7906076 21.02.79 GB 7906077 08.05.79 GB 7915858

- Applicant: IMPERIAL CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED, Imperial Chemical House Millbank, London SW1P 3JF (GB)
- (3) Date of publication of application: 30.09.81
 Bulletin 81/39
- Inventor: Holmes, Paul Arthur, 39 Skottowe Crescent
 Great Ayton, Middlesbrough Cleveland (GB)
 Inventor: Wright, Leonard Feredrick, 8 Blairmore
 Gardens Eaglescliffe, Stockton-on-Tees Cleveland (GB)
- Opening States: BE CH DE FR GB IT LUNCH
 NL
- (A) Representative: Gratwick, Christopher et al, Imperial Chemical Industries Limited Legal Department: Patents Thames House North Millbank, London SW1P 4QG (GB)
- Publication number of the earlier application in accordance with Art. 76 EPC: 0015123
- (S) Extraction of poly-beta-hydroxybutyric acid.
- ⑤ Poly-β-hydroxybutyric acid, PHB, is separated from bacterial cells by 1,2-dichloroethane at below 40°C. The PHB may be extracted directly from an aqueous cell suspension without an intermediate drying step. For some bacteria, the suspension is preferably subjected to a cell disruption step, e.g. milling, prior to contact with the 1,2-dichloroethane.

TITLE MODIFIED see front page

1

B 30652 BA

Extraction process

5

This invention relates to an extraction process and in particular to a process of extracting poly-B-hydroxybutyric acid, hereinafter referred to as PHB from microbial cells.

It has been known since the 1920's that many microorganisms are capable of accumulating granules of PHB within their cells as an energy reserve material. It has been proposed in USP 3107172 to dry such PHB containing bacterial cells, for example by spray drying, and to use the resultant dried cells as 10 moulding compositions. Suggestions have also been made to extract PHB from bacterial cells and to use it as a plastic material, but methods so far disclosed have not been economically acceptable.

In order to extract the PHB, it is generally necessary 15 to contact the bacterial cells with a solvent in which PHB is soluble to leach out the PHB from the remainder of the bacterial cell material. Some bacteria, for example members of the genus Azotobacter readily yield up their PHB to the extraction solvent, whereas other bacteria, e.g. Pseudomonadaceae have more robust 20 cells and require a cell disruption step prior to contact with the extraction solvent.

Methods of extraction previously proposed have included the steps of harvesting the bacterial cells from the aqueous fermentation medium, e.g. by centrifugation, to give a mass of wet 25 cells which are then contacted with acetone to effect drying and cell breakage. After removal of the acetone, the PHB is extracted with a suitable solvent, e.g. pyridine (USP 3036959) or a dichloroethane/ethanol mixture (USP 3044942). Such methods have the advantage that in addition to effecting drying and cell breakage, acetone also extracts lipids and pigments (if any) which would otherwise contaminate the product. However treatment of a mass of wet cells with acetone to effect drying and cell breakage is not economic on a large scale.

Another method is described in USP 3275610 wherein a dispersion of bacterial cells in water is subjected to ultra10 sonic vibration to rupture the cells followed by centrifugation and drying before extraction with a solvent such as chloroform.

After separation of the PHB from the chloroform solution, the PHB is washed to extract lipids therefrom.

It has also been proposed in USP 4101533 to extract PHB from dried cells, or directly from a wet mass of cells harvested from the fermentation medium by centrifugation, by heating the cells with certain cyclic carbonate solvents.

We have found that it is possible to extract PHB directly from the aqueous cell suspension produced by fermentation, preferably after some concentration, by contact with 1,2-dichloroethane as the extraction solvent with, where necessary, a cell disruption step, e.g. milling, prior to contact with the solvent. 1,2-Dichloroethane is not normally considered to be a solvent for PHB because PHB, after separation from bacterial cells, does not readily, or completely, dissolve in 1,2-dichloroethane. Thus, whereas the PHB solution separated from the bacterial cell residue appears to be a single phase, a solution made by re-dissolving PHB in 1,2-dichloroethane after precipitation is, except when very dilute, pearly in appearance, and PHB that has been precipitated and dried does not readily re-dissolve in that solvent. It is therefore surprising that such a solvent should be effective for extracting PHB from cells.

To avoid undue uptake by the solvent of non-PHB material, particularly lipids and pigment (if any), present in the bacterial cell, the extraction temperature should be below 40°C. Not only

does such non-PHB material contaminate the product and so present purification difficulties but also the co-extraction of lipids may tend to result in the formation of a relatively stable emulsion between the solvent and aqueous phases rendering separation 5 thereof difficult. With such a direct extraction process, a separate lipid extraction step prior to contact with the PHB extraction solvent is generally not practical as the solvents that extract lipids would need to be removed, together with the lipids, prior to contact with the PHB extraction solvent and, 10 because the more effective lipid solvents tend to be water miscible, such removal of the lipid solution presents practical difficulties.

Accordingly the present invention provides a process for the extraction of PHB from PHB-containing bacterial cells 15 comprising contacting the bacterial cells with 1,2-dichloroethane and separating the PHB containing solvent phase from the bacterial cell residue.

Preferably the 1,2-dichloroethane is contacted with an aqueous suspension of the bacterial cells. However, 1,2-dichloro-20 ethane may also be contacted with the cells after they have been dried by introducing the aqueous cell suspension in finely divided form into a current of gas, e.g. air, heated to a temperature of at least 100°C to evaporate the water from said suspension. The suspension may be introduced into the gas current via a spray or 25 atomising nozzle. Such drying processes are well known and include spray and flash drying.

The heated gas current evaporates off the water which is carried away by the gas stream leaving the dried cells which are collected for extraction with the PHB extraction solvent.

30

The gas inlet temperature may be in the range 100°C to 500°C and is preferably in the range 120°C to 250°C. Lipids and/ or pigments may be extracted from the dried cells with a lipid/ pigment solvent such as acetone, methanol, ethanol, butanol, hexane, or petroleum ether, followed by separation of the solvent 35 containing dissolved lipids/pigment from the cells prior to

contacting the cells with the PHB extraction solvent. The lipid/
pigment extraction is preferably performed by refluxing the dried
cells with the solvent. Acetone and methanol are the preferred
lipid extraction solvents. The lipid/pigment extraction solvent
may be used in admixture with another PHB non-solvent such as
diethyl ether.

This lipid extraction may facilitate the subsequent extraction of the PHB.

We have found that, when extracting the PHB directly 10 from the aqueous cell suspension with 1,2-dichloroethane, the PHB can be extracted from some bacteria without the need for a separate cell disruption step. Thus members of the genera Azotobacter and Alcaligenes readily yield up their PHB to the 1,2-dichloroethane solvent such that the extraction step re-15 quires only agitation of the cell suspension with the solvent. The agitator preferably includes adjacent surfaces in relative movement thus providing mild shearing. A Silverson (RTM) blender may be used to effect mixing. More robust bacteria, e.g. Pseudomonadaceae require a distinct step of cell disruption. 20 disruption can be carried out by shearing the aqueous cell suspension, for example by homogenisation, bead-milling, roller-milling, or French pressing. Other methods of cell disruption include osmotic shock, sonic or ultrasonic vibration, and enzyme cellwall lysis. Treatment with hypochlorite to destroy the bacterial 25 cell membrane chemically could be used but preferably is not because it usually causes severe degradation of the PHB. Where the cells are dried by the technique described above before contact with 1,2-dichloroethane, a separate cell breakage step prior to drying is generally not necessary although for particularly 30 robust bacteria a cell breakage step e.g. milling, prior to drying may be desirable to increase the yield of PHB extracted. However a cell breakage step prior to drying is best avoided if possible as drying milled dispersions gives rise to difficulties such as build up in the drier.

As mentioned hereinbefore, to avoid undue uptake of

non-PHB material by the 1,2-dichloroethane, the extraction temperature should be below 40°C; the extraction temperature is preferably in the range 10 to 40°C. This is particularly the case in the direct extraction process in which an aqueous cell suspension is contacted with the 1,2-dichloroethane, after milling or other cell disruption step if robust bacteria are employed, and in the process where the cells are dried prior to contact with the extraction step and, as is not preferred for reasons described hereinbefore, the cell suspension is subjected to a cell disruption step, e.g. milling, prior to drying. Thus if a milled dispersion is dried and extracted with a hot solvent, on precipitation of the PHB from the solvent, a gelatinous sticky mass tends to be formed.

The weight of PHB extraction solvent used is preferably 10 to 100 times the cell dry weight. The use of smaller amounts of solvent may reduce the extraction efficiency of crude PHB and may give solutions of excessive viscosity while the use of larger amounts is uneconomic. The amount of solvent is preferably such that the extracted solution contains 0.5 to 5%, particularly 1 to 2% PHB by weight.

The contacting time for extraction should be a compromise to give adequate extraction without being uneconomically lengthy.

Where the cells are dried prior to contact with the extraction solvent, separation of the cell residue from the PHBcontaining solution may be effected by a simple filtration or centrifugation step. If the cells are subjected to a lipid extraction prior to extraction of the PHB, the filtration of the PHB solution from the cell residue tends to be particularly facile and can be effected using relatively coarse filters. Where the PHB is extracted by contacting an aqueous suspension of the bacterial cells with 1,2-dichloroethane, the bacterial cell residue may be removed by separating the 1,2-dichloroethane phase (which contains the dissolved PHB) from the aqueous phase: the bacterial residue remains suspended in the aqueous phase. It is sometimes difficult to separate the 1,2-dichloroethane phase from the aqueous medium

as a stable emulsion may be formed. While in some cases centrifugation will facilitat separation of such emulsions, centrifugation is not always successful and anyway is not attractive in large scale operation.

5 We have found that separation of the solvent and aqueous phases may be facilitated by adjustment of the pH of the aqueous phase, prior to contact with the 1,2-dichloroethane, to within 0.5 units of pH of the isoelectric point of the bacterial cells. As will be mentioned hereinafter, for some bacter-10 ial cells, it is desirable to disrupt the cells prior to contact of the aqueous cell suspension with the 1,2-dichloroethane. The isoelectric point of the disrupted cells may well differ from that of the unbroken cells. Where the cells are disrupted the pH should be adjusted to within 0.5 units of pH of the iso-15 electric point of the disrupted cells. While it is possible to add sufficient of a pH modifying material to the suspension of cells prior to cell disruption so that, on disruption the pH is within 0.5 units of the isoelectric point of the disrupted cells, it is preferred to adjust the pH after the step of cell disruption.

The isoelectric point of a bacterial cell is the pH value at which the cell possesses no set positive or negative charge, i.e. it is electrically neutral. The isoelectric point may be determined by particle electrophoresis measurements at differing pH values and hence the pH at which the net charge is zero is determined.

20

25

Generally for PHB-accumulating bacteria the isoelectric point, both for whole cells and for disrupted cells, is within the pH range 4 to 5.5; however the pH of the aqueous medium during fermentation will generally be within the range 6 to 8, the optimum depending on the nature of the bacteria used and the other fermentation conditions. As indicated above the pH of the aqueous medium should be adjusted to within 0.5 units of pH of the isoelectric point. Preferably it is adjusted to within 0.25 pH units of the isoelectric point and, more particularly, to a pH within the range of the isoelectric point to 0.25 pH units above the isoelectric

B 30652A

point.

30

After separation of the PHB-containing extraction solvent from the bacterial cell residue, the PHB solution may be further filtered, if desired, to remove any suspended bacterial 5 fragments. Such filtration is preferably conducted using a filter, e.g. a glass fibre filter, having a pore size of less than 5 µm, preferably less than 2 µm.

The separated PHB-containing solution can be used directly, preferably after filtration, for making solvent cast 10 articles such as coatings, films or fibres or the solution may be treated further to separate solid PHB, for example by evaporation of the solvent or by precipitation by addition of the PHBcontaining solution to a liquid in which PHB is insoluble and with which the solvent is miscible. Examples of suitable liquids 15 include petroleum ether and methanol/water mixtures. The PHB may be purified, if desired, by washing with methanol or acetone.

After extraction of the PHB, the bacterial cell residues may be further refined for other uses, e.g. as a feedstuff or fertilizer.

20 Any bacteria that are capable of accumulating PHB may be used to produce the PHB-containing bacterial cells. A paper by Senior et al in Advances in Microbial Physiology 1973, 10, 203 - 266 lists the bacteria published up to June 1972 and others are described in US Patent 3072538 (Rhizobium mutants) and UK 25 Patent 1535632 (especially mutants of Alcaligenes eutrophus, Bacillus megaterium, Zoogloea ramigera, and Mycoplana rubra). Among the preferred bacteria are Azotobacter, especially chroococcum, Alcaligenes, especially eutrophus, and the Pseudomonadaceae, especially Pseudomonas AM 1 and Methylobacterium organophilum.

Among such bacteria are those capable of metabolising one or more of a variety of substrates, for example carbohydrates. ethanol, methanol, polyhydric alcohols, carbon dioxide/hydrogen, and carboxylic acids, and, according to the substrate used, may grow aerobically or anaerobically. The invention is of particular 35 utility in separating PHB from bacterial cells of the

Pseudomonadaceae grown under aerobic fermentation conditions on an alcohol, particularly methanol, substrate. The invention is also of particular utility in separating PHB from Azotobacter grown on a water soluble carbohydrate such as sucrose or glucose.

5

30

The cell suspension produced by the fermentation process will typically contain 20 to 55 g 1⁻¹ biomass solids. Where the suspension is extracted with 1,2-dichloroethane without an intermediate drying step, for efficient extraction, the cell suspension preferably has a concentration of 5 to 15% by weight biomass 10 solids. The cell suspension is preferably concentrated, e.g. by centrifugation, to within this range where this is necessary. (The cell suspension as produced in the fermentation process may already have a concentration within this range: however even in such cases some concentration may be desirable).

15 The invention is illustrated by the following examples in which all percentages are by weight.

> In the examples yields are calculated as weight of crude PHB recovered dry weight of cells used x 76 PHB in cells x 100

20 Also the NCIB numbers refer to the numbers designated to cultures deposited at the National Collection of Industrial Bacteria. Torry Research Station, Aberdeen, Scotland.

EXAMPLE 1

5000 ml of an aqueous suspension of Methylobacterium 25 organophilum (NCIB 11483 - which is further described in our European Patent Specification 15669) containing 60 g 1 biomass solids of which 36% was PHB was spray dried at a suspension feed rate of 5000 ml hr⁻¹, an air inlet temperature of 150°C, an air outlet temperature of 80°C and an air flow rate of 300 m³hr⁻¹.

20 g of the spray dried cells were suspended in 1000 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane at room temperature for 15 minutes. cell debris was removed by filtration through a Whatman 541 paper filter. The PHB was recovered from the solution by adding the latter to 5000 ml of a methanol/water mixture (4 vol. methanol: 35 1 vol. water) with vigorous stirring. The precipitate was

collected on a filter. The yield of crude PHB was 5.7%.

The above experiment was repeated except that the dried cells were sheared with the 1,2-dichloroethane in a Silverson mixer at room temperature for 15 minutes. The yield of crude PHB (purity 98.2%) was 12.2%.

Ç

EXAMPLE 2

20 g of the spray dried cells as used in Example 2 were refluxed for 5 minutes with 600 ml of acetone at 56° C to extract lipids and pigment and than the acetone removed by filtration.

- The residual cells were then sheared for 15 minutes at room temperature with 1000 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane in a Silverson mixer. The resultant solution was filtered from the cell residue using a Whatman 541 paper filter and the PHB was then precipitated by adding the solution to 5000 ml of a methanol/water mixture (4 vol.
- 15 methanol: 1 vol. water) with vigorous stirring. The precipitate was collected on a filter.

The yield of crude PHB (purity 96.7%) was 43.2%

It is thus seen that the acetone extraction further weakened the spray dried cells to allow more PHB to be extracted 20 by cold 1,2-dichloroethane.

EXAMPLE 3

A culture of <u>Azotobacter chroococcum</u> (NCIB 9125) was produced by fermentation of glucose in an aqueous medium under carbon-excess, oxygen-limitation, and minimal salts concentration conditions. The cell suspension contained 20 g l⁻¹ biomass solids, the PHB content of which was 40%. The suspension was then concentrated to a cell cream containing 80 g l⁻¹ biomass solids by centrifugation.

500 ml of the cell cream was mixed with 1000 ml of 1,2-30 dichloroethane at 20°C and blended for 10 minutes by means of a Silverson (RTM) blender, model L2R. Owing to energy dissipation the temperature rose to 40°C. The resulting emulsion was cooled and then centrifuged at 13000 g for 15 minutes at 15°C. The upper layer of aqueous solution and cell debris was decanted off.

The lower layer, PHB-dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane, was poured

slowly with vigorous stirring into 5000 ml of a methanol/water mixture (4 volumes methanol: 1 volume water).

The precipitate (purity 97.5%) was collected on a filter, washed five times with 1000 ml of methanol and dried in vacuo at 50°C. It was analysed chemically and found to have the following % composition: C 55.8, H 7.0, O 37.0, N <0.2 (theoretical C 55.9, H 6.9, O 37.2). This corresponds to a purity of over 99.5%. The solid PHB had a fibrous consistency. The yield was 14.7 g (92%).

By way of comparison, when the above procedure was re-10 peated using chloroform as the extraction solvent, while the yield was 16.6 g, the purity (before methanol washing) was only 88.6%.

EXAMPLE 4

An aqueous suspension of cells of Pseudomonas AM 1 (NCTB 9133) made by fermentation of methanol in an aqueous medium and containing 20 g l⁻¹ total biomass solids of which 28% was PHB was extracted by contact with 1,2-dichloroethane by the technique of Example 6 save that, after concentration to a cell cream containing 120 g l⁻¹ biomass solids, the cells were disrupted by milling in a 20 Dynomill at a throughput rate of 15 l hr⁻¹ at an inlet temperature of 20°C and an outlet temperature of 40°C.

The PHB produced had a purity (before methanol washing) of 93.2% and the yield was 77%.

EXAMPLE 5

Example 4 was repeated using an aqueous suspension of cells as used in Example 1 concentrated to a cell cream of 120 g 1⁻¹ biomass solids by centrifugation. The yield of crude PHB (purity 93.2%) was 63.8%. The level of this yield was partly as a result of the fact that the separated lower layer had a volume of only 750 ml, i.e. only 75% of the 1,2-dichloroethane was separated from the emulsion. If all the 1,2-dichloroethane had been separated, calculation shows that the yield of PHB would have been about 85%.

EXAMPLE 6

Example 3 was repeated except that after mixing the cell cream (which had a pH of 7 and had been stored for a few weeks after

B 30652A

fermentation) and 1,2-dichloroethane in the Silverson blender, the emulsion was allowed to settle under natural gravity for 30 minutes instead of cooling and separation by centrifugation. During this time the emulsion separated into an upper aqueous layer containing the cell debris and a lower layer of a solution of PHB in 1,2-dichloroethane. The two layers were separated by decanting. The recovered lower layer had a volume of only 170 ml. Even after standing for 1 hour the volume of the separated lower layer was only 420 ml. To obtain rapid separation with this system (at pH 7) it was necessary to cool the emulsion and centrifuge it for 15 minutes at 15°C.

EXAMPLE 7

Example 6 was repeated but the pH of the cell cream was adjusted to various values before contacting the suspension with 1,2-dichloroethane. The amount of 1,2-dichloroethane solution separating on standing for 30 and 60 minutes was as follows

	pН	Volume of 1,2-dichloroethane separated (ml)			
20	рп	after 30 minutes	after 60 minutes		
	4	0 (stable emulsion)	30		
	4•5	410	-		
	5	650	770		
25	5•5	640	-		
	6	290	700		
	7	170	420		
	8	60	300		
	9	50	270		
30	10	30	-		

The isoelectric point of the cell cream was also determined and found to be at pH 5.

EXAMPLE 8

of an Azotobacter chroccoccum (NCIB 9125) cell cream of isoelectric point at pH 5 in which the cells contained about 40% PHB.

The pH was adjusted to 5 prior to contacting the cell cream with 1,2-dichloroethane. The aqueous and 1,2-dichloroethane layers separated rapidly under natural gravity and after only 10 minutes 850 ml of the 1,2-dichloroethane layer had separated and was recovered. The PHB was precipitated therefrom and washed, as in Example 3. The yield of PHB (purity 99.5%) was 84%.

EXAMPLE 9-

10 An aqueous suspension of cells of Pseudomonas AM 1 (NCIB 9133) was made by aerobic fermentation of methanol in an aqueous medium at pH 7.0. The suspension contained 20 g l⁻¹ total biomass solids of which 30% was PHB. The suspension was concentrated by means of a centrifuge to a cell cream containing 15 80 g l⁻¹ biomass solids. The cells were disrupted by milling the cell cream in a Dynomill at a throughput rate of 12 l hr⁻¹ at an inlet temperature of 20°C and an outlet temperature of 40°C. The isoelectric point of the resultant broken cells was determined and found to be pH 4.75.

The pH of the suspension of broken cells was adjusted to 4.75 and 500 ml of the suspension was added to 1 litre of 1,2-dichloroethane. The mixture was mixed for 10 minutes using a Silverson (RTM) blender, model L2R at a low mixing speed. The resultant emulsion was then allowed to settle. After 10 minutes 800 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane had separated. The PHB was then separated from the 1,2-dichloroethane by the procedure of Example

When this experiment was repeated but without any pH adjustment, on mixing the suspension of broken cells and 1,230 dichloroethane, a relatively stable emulsion was formed that could be separated only after centrifugation.

The yield of PHB was 78%.

EXAMPLE 10

To compare the effectiveness of various solvents as extraction solvents 500 ml of <u>Azotobacter chroococcum</u> (NCIB 9125)

35 cell cream of biomass content 50 g l⁻¹ and pH 5.0 was poured at

20°C into 1 litre of the solvent under examination. The resulting mixture was mixed in a Silverson blender model L2R for 10
minutes and then allowed to separate under gravity. The amount
of solvent separated after 30 and 60 minutes was measured. After
5 separation, the solvent layer, herein termed "syrup", was syphoned
off and its solids content determined by evaporation of a sample
to dryness. The PHB content of the syrup was also determined by
precipitation into a water/methanol mixture as in Example 3.

The results are shown in the following table.

10				.,	
				%	
		% solvent recovered		solids content	
	Solvent				
				of syrup	
15				/	
		30 min	60 min	total	PHB
		·			
	1,2-dichloroethane	55	75	1.15	0.89
	chloroform*	0	0	1.27	0.92
20	dichloromethane*	12	35	1.51	0.95
	1,1,1-trichlorethane	45	60	0.8	ND
	1,1,2-trichloroethylene	45	50	0.12	MD)
	1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	85	90	0.01	MD
	pyridine*	0	0	0.01	ИD
25	1,2-propylene carbonate*	8	15	0.01	ND

ND = none detected

30

* in view of the low separations on standing, the emulsion was centrifuged to obtain the samples for determination of the total solids and PHB contents.

To illustrate the solubility characteristics of PHB in various solvents, re-solution of the precipitated PHB obtained by the cold extraction with chloroform, dichloromethane and 1,2-di-chloroethane was attempted by mixing the precipitated PHB after drying with a fresh quantity of the original cold solvent. The

precipitated PHB redissolved in cold chloroform or dichloromethane but was not soluble in cold 1,2-dichloroethane.

- 1. A process for the extraction of poly-B-hydroxybutyric acid (PHB) from bacterial cells containing PHB comprising contacting the bacterial cells with 1,2-dichloroethane at a temperature below 40°C and separating the PHB-containing solvent phase from the bacterial cell residue.
- 2. A process according to claim 1 in which an aqueous suspension of the cells is subjected to a cell disruption step prior to contact of the cells with 1,2-dichloroethane.
- 3. A process according to any one of claims 1 and 2 in which the 1,2-dichloroethane is contacted with an aqueous suspension of the bacterial cells.
- 4. A process according to claim 3 wherein the pH of the aqueous suspension is adjusted to within 0.5 units of pH of the isoelectric point of the cells, or of the disrupted cells if the cells are subjected to a cell disruption step, prior to contact with the 1,2-dichloroethane.
- 5. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 4 in which the weight of 1,2-dichloroethane is 10 to 100 times the cell dry weight.
- 6. A process according to any one of claims 1 to 5 in which the PHB is separated from the 1,2-dichloroethane by precipitation into a liquid in which PHB is insoluble and with which 1,2-dichloroethane is miscible.

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

0036699 EP 81 20 0352

	DOCUMENTS CONS	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. CL ³)			
Category	Citation of document with ind passages	lication, where appropriate, of relevant	Relevant to claim		
E	EP - A - 0 014 * Whole docu	490 (SOLVAY et CIE ment *	.) 1-3,!	C 12 P 7/62 C 08 G 63/72	
					
Е	EP - A - 0 015		1-6		
	* Page 14, 1 line 26; e	ine 30 - page 16, xample 1 *			
A	September-Octo 774-780	, vol. 9, no. 5, ber 1976, pages		TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.3)	
	ties of poly(D	.: "Solution proper -/-hydroxybutyrate) s and characteri-		C 12 P 7/62 C 08 G 63/06	
				63/72 C 12 N 1/32	
	3.5 2.5			,	
				CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS	
		·		X: particularly relevant A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: conflicting application D: document cited in the	
				application L: citation for other reasons	
1	The present search repo	ort has been drawn up for all claims		&: member of the same patent family, corresponding document	
ace of sea	rch	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	corresponding document	
	The Hague	23-06-1981		DESCAMPS	